BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS

IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

3 IIN THE MATTER OF:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Case No.: DO-14-0316A

PHILIP CREPS, D.O.

Holder of License No. 006166

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER FOR CIVIL PENALTY

For the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona

On December 22, 2014, the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners (hereafter "Board") received a complaint against Philip Creps, D.O. (hereafter "Respondent"). On December 22, 2014, the Board noticed Respondent of an investigation into that complaint. On January 17, 2015, the Board received Respondent's Response to the complaint.

On February 18, 2015, the Board invited Respondent to attend an Investigative Hearing on this matter. The initial review was April 11, 2015. Respondent was present and participated in the Investigative Hearing on his own behalf.

After hearing testimony from Respondent and considering the documents and evidence submitted, the Board voted to enter the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Payment of A Civil Penalty.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENTS

- 1. The Board is empowered, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1800 et seq., to regulate the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, and the conduct of the persons licensed, registered, or permitted to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 006166 issued by the Board for the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

24

25

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 3. On December 22, 2014, the Board received a complaint against Respondent from a coworker, alleging Dr. Creps had self-prescribed medication for himself and signed under her name.
- 4. Respondent admits that he attempted to write the prescription under his own name, but he had difficulty with the EMR and the prescription was created under the coworker's name. He admits that due to frustration, he did sign the co-worker's name to the prescription in the EMR.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 5. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct and is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-1854 (6), which states "Engaging in the practice of medicine in a manner that harms or may harm a patient or that the board determines falls below the community standard."
- 6. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct and is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-1854 (15), which states "Knowingly making any false or fraudulent statement, written or oral, in connection with the practice of medicine or when applying for or renewing privileges at a health care institution or a health care program."
- 7. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (39), which states "Any conduct or practice that impairs the licensee's ability to safely and skillfully practice medicine or that may reasonably be expected to do."

ORDER

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Board,

- 1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Philip Creps, D.O., holder of osteopathic medical license number 006166, shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars. Payment shall be made to the Board within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order.
- 2. <u>Costs:</u> Respondent shall bear all costs incurred regarding compliance with this Order.
- 3. <u>Obey All Laws:</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 4. Failure to Comply / Violation: Respondent's failure to comply with the requirements of this Order shall constitute an allegation of unprofessional conduct as defined at A.R.S. § 32-1854(25) and proven violations may be grounds for further disciplinary action (e.g., suspension or revocation of license).



ISSUED THIS 4 DAY OF MAY, 2015.
ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS
IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

Jenna Jones, Executive Director

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST REVIEW OR REHEARING

Any party may request a rehearing or review of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09. The motion for rehearing or review must be filed with the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners within thirty (30) days. If a party files a motion for review or rehearing, that motion must be based on at least one of the eight grounds for review or rehearing that are

1 allowed under A.A.C. R4-22-106(D). Failure to file a motion for rehearing or review within 30 days has the effect of prohibiting judicial review of the Board's decision. Service of this order is 2 3 effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a motion for rehearing or 4 review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent. 5 6 Original "Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Civil Penalty" filed this _____ day of May, 2015 with: 7 8 Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners In Medicine and Surgery 9535 East Doubletree Ranch Road 10 Scottsdale AZ 85258-5539 11 12 Copy of the "Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Civil Penalty" sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, this 4th day of May, 2015 to: 13 Philip Creps, D.O. 14 Address of Record 15 16 Jeanne Galvin, AAG 17 Office of the Attorney General CIV/LES 1275 West Washington 18 Phoenix AZ 85007 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

4